

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA  
CAIXA POSTAL 66318  
05315-970 SÃO PAULO - SP  
BRASIL

# PUBLICAÇÕES

IFUSP/P-1278

**R-PARITY VIOLATING SIGNALS FOR CHARGINO  
PRODUCTION AT LEP II**

**F. de Campos**

Universidade Estadual Paulista, Campus de Guaratinguetá  
Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira da Cunha, 333  
12500-000 Guaratinguetá, SP, Brazil

**O.J.P. Éboli**

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

**M.A. García-Jareño and J.W.F. Valle**

Instituto de Física Corpuscular - C.S.I.C.  
Departament de Física Teòrica, Universitat de València  
46100 Burjassot, València, Spain

Setembro/1997

*Pág. 1 - 28*

## *R*-Parity Violating Signals for Chargino Production at LEP II

F. de Campos<sup>a\*</sup>, O. J. P. Éboli<sup>b†</sup>, M. A. García-Jareño<sup>c‡</sup>, and J. W. F. Valle<sup>c §</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Universidade Estadual Paulista, Campus de Guaratinguetá,  
Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira da Cunha, 333,  
12500-000, Guaratinguetá, S.P., Brazil*

<sup>b</sup> *Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo,  
C.P. 66.318, CEP 05315-970 São Paulo, Brazil.*

<sup>c</sup> *Instituto de Física Corpuscular - C.S.I.C.  
Departament de Física Teòrica, Universitat de València  
46100 Burjassot, València, Spain*

### Abstract

We study chargino pair production at LEP II in supersymmetric models with spontaneously broken *R*-parity. We perform a detailed signal and background analyses, showing that a large region of the parameter space of these models can be probed through chargino searches at LEP II. We determine the limits on the chargino mass as a function of the magnitude of the effective *R*-parity violation parameter  $\epsilon$ . As  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we recover the usual MSSM chargino mass limits, however, for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently large, the bounds on the chargino mass can be about 15 GeV weaker than in the MSSM due to the dominance of the two-body chargino decay mode  $\chi^+ \rightarrow \tau^+ J$ , where *J* denotes the Majoron. Moreover, we show that LEP II can detect signals of spontaneous *R*-parity violation in a large region of the parameter space if charginos are observed.

---

\*E-mail fernando@feg.unesp.br

†E-mail eboli@fma.if.usp.br

‡E-mail miguel@flamenco.ific.uv.es

§E-mail valle@flamenco.ific.uv.es

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the *Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model* (MSSM) the conservation of a discrete symmetry called  $R$ -parity is imposed [1].  $R$ -parity is related to the particle spin ( $S$ ), lepton number ( $L$ ), and baryon number ( $B$ ) through  $R = (-1)^{(3B+L+2S)}$ , being all the standard model particles  $R$ -even while their superpartners are  $R$ -odd. From this it follows that supersymmetric particles must be produced only in pairs, with the lightest one being stable. So far, most searches for supersymmetric particles have assumed conservation of  $R$ -parity, however, neither gauge invariance nor supersymmetry (SUSY) require its conservation. In general, we can build models exhibiting  $R$ -parity violation which may be explicit [2] or spontaneous [3], or even the residual effect of a more fundamental unified theory [4].

Recently there was a lot of attention devoted to the possibility that  $R$ -parity can be an exact symmetry of the Lagrangian, broken spontaneously through nonzero vacuum expectation values (VEVs) for scalar neutrinos [5]. In this case there are two main scenarios depending whether lepton number is a gauge symmetry or not. If lepton number is part of the gauge symmetry there is an additional gauge boson which acquires mass via the Higgs mechanism. Therefore, there is no physical Goldstone boson and the scale of  $R$ -parity violation, in the TeV range, also characterizes the new gauge interaction [6, 7]. In this work, we consider the scenario where spontaneous  $R$ -parity violation occurs in the absence of an additional gauge symmetry, so that there is a physical massless Nambu-Goldstone boson, called Majoron [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]<sup>1</sup>. In this model, the Majoron is *the lightest SUSY particle*, and in the absence of explicit  $R$ -parity violating terms that might arise, for instance from gravitational effects [15, 16], the Majoron remains massless and therefore stable. Thus, it will lead to a missing energy signal at high energy accelerators.

In Majoron models, the neutralino is unstable and for moderate strengths of the  $R$ -parity violating interactions, it will decay inside the detector, either via

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^0 &\rightarrow \nu_\tau Z^* \rightarrow \nu_\tau \nu \nu, \nu_\tau \ell^+ \ell^-, \nu_\tau q \bar{q}; \\ \chi^0 &\rightarrow \tau W^* \rightarrow \tau \nu_i \ell_i, \tau q \bar{q}' ; \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

or due to Majoron emission

$$\chi^0 \rightarrow \nu J . \tag{2}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>There are many models where neutrinos get mass from spontaneous breaking of lepton number [14]. In the present context the Majoron appears also because the (tau) neutrino mass arises as a result of the spontaneous violation of lepton number implied by the nonzero sneutrino VEVs.

In the first case the neutralino gives rise to visible signals, as we will see in section 3, except for the  $3 \nu$  decay mode. In the later case neutralino decay possesses a missing energy signature, exactly as the stable MSSM neutralino.

In this work, we study the implications of  $R$ -parity breaking SUSY models with a Majoron for chargino searches at LEP II. In these models, in addition to the conventional MSSM chargino decay mode

$$\chi^+ \rightarrow W^+ \chi^0, \quad (3)$$

there is a new two-body decay mode

$$\chi^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm J, \quad (4)$$

which is  $R$ -conserving, and consequently may be quite sizeable. Moreover, the chargino also presents other  $R$ -parity violating decays which are typically negligible compared to the modes above [17].

We evaluate the LEP II potential for probing the  $R$ -parity violating SUSY parameter space through the study of new signatures arising from chargino pair production and its corresponding cascade decay. We determine the limits on the chargino mass ( $m_{\chi^\pm}$ ) for different values of the  $R$ -parity violating interactions. In our analyses, we recover the MSSM chargino mass limit, which is close to the kinematic limit, for sufficiently small strengths of the  $R$ -parity violating interactions. As the magnitude of  $R$ -parity violation becomes larger, the limit on the chargino mass weakens due to the dominance of the two-body chargino decay mode (4), which has a somewhat lower detection efficiency due to an irreducible background arising from  $W$ -pair production. Assuming unification of the gaugino mass parameters we also determine the corresponding neutralino mass limit.

## II. BASIC FRAMEWORK

We adopted the conceptually simplest model for the spontaneous violation of  $R$  proposed in Ref. [8] in which, by construction, neutrinos are massless before breaking of  $R$ -parity. As a result all  $R$ -parity violating observables are directly correlated to the mass of the tau neutrino with the magnitude of this correlation depending upon the choice of the  $R$ -parity SUSY parameters. Apart from the theoretical attractive of giving a dynamical origin for the violation of  $R$ -parity and neutrino mass, these models offer the possibility of realizing a radiative scenario for the breaking of  $R$ -parity, similar to that of electroweak breaking [18].

In order to set up our notation, we first recall some basic ingredients. The superpotential, which conserves *total* lepton number and  $R$ , is given by

$$h_u Q H_u u^c + h_d H_d Q d^c + h_e \ell H_d e^c + (h_0 H_u H_d - \epsilon^2) \Phi + h_\nu \ell H_u \nu^c + h \Phi S \nu^c + \text{h.c.} , \quad (5)$$

where the couplings  $h_u, h_d, h_e, h_\nu, h$  are arbitrary matrices in generation space. The additional chiral superfields  $(\Phi, \nu^c_i, S_i)$  are singlets under  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  and carry a conserved lepton number assigned as  $(0, -1, 1)$  respectively. The superfields  $\nu^c, S$  [19] and  $\Phi$  [20] are required to drive the spontaneous violation of  $R$ -parity in an acceptable way, so that the Majoron is mostly a singlet, that is given by the imaginary part of [8]

$$\frac{v_L^2}{V v^2} (v_u H_u - v_d H_d) + \frac{v_L}{V} \tilde{\nu}_\tau - \frac{v_R}{V} \tilde{\nu}^c_\tau + \frac{v_S}{V} \tilde{S}_\tau , \quad (6)$$

where the isosinglet VEVs

$$v_R = \langle \tilde{\nu}_{R\tau} \rangle , \quad v_S = \langle \tilde{S}_\tau \rangle , \quad (7)$$

and  $V = \sqrt{v_R^2 + v_S^2}$  characterizes  $R$  or lepton number breaking. The isodoublet VEVs

$$v_u = \langle H_u \rangle , \quad v_d = \langle H_d \rangle \quad (8)$$

are responsible for the breaking of the electroweak symmetry and the generation of fermion masses with the combination  $v^2 = v_u^2 + v_d^2$  being fixed by the  $W, Z$  masses. Finally, there is a small seed of  $R$ -parity breaking in the doublet sector, *i.e.*,

$$v_L = \langle \tilde{\nu}_{L\tau} \rangle \quad (9)$$

whose magnitude is now related to the Yukawa coupling  $h_\nu$ . Since this vanishes as  $h_\nu \rightarrow 0$ , we can naturally satisfy the limits originating from stellar energy loss [21]. Note that, unlike the standard seesaw model, the neutral leptons members of the singlet superfields  $\nu^c_i$  and  $S_i$  are given only Dirac-type masses.

The form of the chargino mass matrix is common to a wide class of  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  SUSY models with spontaneously broken  $R$ -parity and is given by

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} & e_j^+ & \tilde{H}_u^+ & -i\tilde{W}^+ \\ \hline e_i & h_{eij} v_d & -h_{\nu ij} v_{Rj} & \sqrt{2} g_2 v_{Li} \\ \tilde{H}_d^- & -h_{eij} v_{Li} & \mu & \sqrt{2} g_2 v_d \\ -i\tilde{W}^- & 0 & \sqrt{2} g_2 v_u & M_2 \end{array} \quad (10)$$

Two matrices  $U$  and  $V$  are needed to diagonalise the  $5 \times 5$  (non-symmetric) chargino mass matrix

$$\chi_i^+ = V_{ij}\psi_j^+ \quad , \quad (11)$$

$$\chi_i^- = U_{ij}\psi_j^- \quad , \quad (12)$$

where the indices  $i$  and  $j$  run from 1 to 5,  $\psi_j^+ = (\epsilon_1^+, \epsilon_2^+, \epsilon_3^+, \tilde{H}_u^+, -i\tilde{W}^+)$  and  $\psi_j^- = (\epsilon_1^-, \epsilon_2^-, \epsilon_3^-, \tilde{H}_d^-, -i\tilde{W}^-)$ .

Under reasonable approximations, we can truncate the neutralino mass matrix so as to obtain an effective  $7 \times 7$  matrix of the following form [8]

$$\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
 & \nu_i & \tilde{H}_u & \tilde{H}_d & -i\tilde{W}_3 & -i\tilde{B} \\
 \hline
 \nu_i & 0 & h_{\nu ij}v_{Rj} & 0 & g_2v_{Li} & -g_1v_{Li} \\
 \tilde{H}_u & h_{\nu ij}v_{Rj} & 0 & -\mu & -g_2v_u & g_1v_u \\
 \tilde{H}_d & 0 & -\mu & 0 & g_2v_d & -g_1v_d \\
 -i\tilde{W}_3 & g_2v_{Li} & -g_2v_u & g_2v_d & M_2 & 0 \\
 -i\tilde{B} & -g_1v_{Li} & g_1v_u & -g_1v_d & 0 & M_1
 \end{array} \quad (13)$$

This matrix is diagonalised by a  $7 \times 7$  unitary matrix  $N$ ,

$$\chi_i^0 = N_{ij}\psi_j^0 \quad , \quad (14)$$

where  $\psi_j^0 = (\nu_i, \tilde{H}_u, \tilde{H}_d, -i\tilde{W}_3, -i\tilde{B})$ , with  $\nu_i$  denoting the three weak-eigenstate neutrinos.

In the above mass matrices,  $M_{1(2)}$  denote the supersymmetry breaking gaugino mass parameters and  $g_{1(2)}$  are the  $SU(2) \otimes U(1)$  gauge couplings divided by  $\sqrt{2}$ . Moreover, we assumed the canonical GUT relation  $M_1/M_2 = \frac{5}{3} \tan^2 \theta_W$ .

In our analyses, we considered typical values for the SUSY parameters  $\mu$ ,  $M_2$  that can be covered by chargino production at LEP II.

$$\begin{aligned}
 -200 &\leq \mu \leq 200 \quad [\text{GeV}] \quad , \\
 40 &\leq M_2 \leq 400 \quad [\text{GeV}] \quad .
 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We also varied  $\tan \beta$  in the range

$$2 \leq \tan \beta = \frac{v_u}{v_d} \leq 40 \quad . \quad (16)$$

We only introduced  $R$  violation in the third family, and defined an effective parameter  $\epsilon_i \equiv h_{\nu ij}v_{Rj}$ , which measures the violation of  $R$ -parity. As we can see from the neutralino and

chargino mass matrices, the  $\epsilon$  parameter gives the main contribution to the mixing between charged (neutral) leptons and the charginos (neutralinos) and also leads to  $R$  violating gauge couplings.

There are many restrictions on the parameters in broken  $R$  models which follow from laboratory experiments related to neutrino physics, weak interactions, cosmology and astrophysics [5, 14]. The most relevant constraints come from neutrino-less double beta decay and neutrino oscillation searches, direct searches for anomalous peaks at  $\pi$  and  $K$  meson decays, the limit on the tau neutrino mass [22], and cosmological limits on the  $\nu_\tau$  lifetime and mass, as well as limits on muon and tau lifetimes, on lepton flavour violating decays, and universality violation. Taking into account all these constraints, we required the parameters  $h_{\nu i,3}$  and the expectation values lie in the ranges

$$10^{-10} \leq h_{\nu 13}, h_{\nu 23} \leq 10^{-1} \quad 10^{-5} \leq h_{\nu 33} \leq 10^{-1} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} v_L = v_{L3} &= 100 \text{ MeV} \\ 50 \text{ GeV} &\leq v_R = v_{R3} \leq 1000 \text{ GeV} \\ 50 \text{ GeV} &\leq v_S = v_{S3} = v_R \leq 1000 \text{ GeV} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

For definiteness we have set  $v_{L1} = v_{L2} = 0$  and  $v_{R1} = v_{R2} = 0$ .

The model described above constitutes a very useful way to parametrise the physics of  $R$  violation, due to the strict correlation between the magnitude of  $R$  violating phenomena and the resulting  $\nu_\tau$  mass. In other words, neutrinos are strictly massless before breaking  $R$ , therefore all  $R$  violating observables, such as the lightest neutralino decay rate  $\Gamma_\chi$ , are directly correlated to the mass of the tau neutrino. In fact, the  $\tau$  neutrino mass may be written schematically as  $m_{\nu_\tau} \sim \xi \epsilon^2 / m_{\chi^+}$ , where  $\xi$  is some effective parameter given as a function of  $M_2$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\tan\beta$ , etc <sup>2</sup>. This establishes a correlation between the violation of  $R$  and the  $\nu_\tau$  mass showing explicitly how the broken  $R$ -model provides an interesting mechanism to understand the origin of neutrino mass without invoking physics at very high energy scales [23].

In Fig. 1, we exhibit the tau neutrino mass as a function of  $\epsilon$ , showing in light grey the region in the  $(m_{\nu_\tau}, \epsilon)$  plane which is compatible with the tau neutrino mass limit from LEP. We also present in this figure the region in which the charginos can be pair produced at LEP, which corresponds to a smaller range of  $\epsilon$  values (dark zone). As we can see, for

---

<sup>2</sup>For a more complete discussion see the second paper in ref. [18]

$\tan\beta < 10$ , the maximum value of  $\epsilon$  that can be probed through chargino pair production at LEP II is around 20 GeV and it increases for larger  $\tan\beta$ . For definiteness we fixed four values of  $\epsilon$ , from 0 to 10 in our analyses.

In the following section we describe the most relevant chargino and neutralino decay modes for this work. A complete list of the decay widths and couplings can be found in [17] or [24].

### III. SIGNALS AND BACKGROUNDS

#### A. Chargino Production

At LEP II the lightest chargino may be pair produced via

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma, Z, \tilde{\nu} \rightarrow \chi^+\chi^- . \quad (19)$$

In this work we assumed that the sneutrinos are heavy enough, and consequently, only the  $\gamma$  and  $Z$  s-channels contribute to the cross section, which can be seen, for instance, in Ref. [25]. Fig. 2 shows a scatter plot of the allowed values of the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi^+\chi^-$  total cross section versus the chargino mass for  $\sqrt{s} = 172$  GeV, when the parameters are varied as in Eq. (15) and Eq. (16). As one can see, this cross section varies between 2 and 10 pb for almost all kinematically allowed chargino masses.

Although, our model allows the single  $R$ -parity-violating chargino production

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow \chi^\pm\tau^\mp , \quad (20)$$

we only considered in this paper the most likely case of pair-production of charginos, as in the MSSM, due to its typically larger cross section. Indeed, we have checked that the single chargino cross section is small when compared to the previous one apart from exceptional points in parameter space.

#### B. Neutralino and Chargino Decays

The breaking of  $R$ -parity not only opens new decay channels for the chargino but also allows the lightest neutralino to decay. Therefore, there are new signatures for SUSY, some of them being very striking. In order to simplify the analyses, we assumed that all



sfermions are sufficiently heavy not to influence the physics at LEP II, *i.e.* we neglected their effects on the chargino production as well as in the decays. In the present model, the lightest neutralino ( $\chi^0$ ) can decay invisibly, conserving  $R$ -parity, into  $\chi^0 \rightarrow \nu J$ , as in Eq. (2), as well as into three-body  $R$ -parity violating channels

$$\chi^0 \rightarrow \nu_\tau Z^* \rightarrow \nu_\tau \nu \nu, \nu_\tau \ell^+ \ell^-, \nu_\tau q \bar{q} ; \quad (21)$$

$$\chi^0 \rightarrow \tau W^* \rightarrow \tau \nu_i \ell_i, \tau q \bar{q}' . \quad (22)$$

As we can see, the neutralino has only three-body decay modes in most of the phase space available at LEP II, apart from the the two-body majoron decay.

It is interesting to notice that all three-body decay channels of the lightest neutralino are *visible*, except for the neutral current one leading to 3 neutrinos. In the phase-space regions where most of neutralino decays are *visible*, the strategies to search for SUSY particles are considerably modified with respect to ones used in the MSSM. The MSSM is recovered as a special limit of this class of models, when the lightest neutralino decays outside the detector because  $R$ -parity violation is not strong enough. Notwithstanding, the  $\chi^0$  decays can also lead to missing momentum due to the presence of neutrinos. It is important to notice that, in the phase space regions, where the invisible decay given in Eq. (2) dominates, the neutralino of a spontaneously broken  $R$ -parity model fakes the MSSM one since its decay products escape undetected.

In  $R$ -parity breaking models, the decays of the lightest chargino, denoted by  $\chi^\pm$ , are modified by the existence of new channels. In models with a majoron, the lightest chargino ( $\chi^\pm$ ) exhibits the two-body decay mode  $\chi^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm J$  of Eq. (4), in addition to the three-body decays<sup>3</sup>

$$\chi^\pm \rightarrow \nu_\tau W^* \rightarrow \nu_\tau q \bar{q}', \nu_\tau \ell_i^\pm \nu_i ; \quad (23)$$

$$\chi^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm Z^* \rightarrow \tau^\pm q \bar{q}, \tau^\pm \ell^+ \ell^-, \tau^\pm \nu \bar{\nu} ; \quad (24)$$

$$\chi^\pm \rightarrow \chi^0 W^* \rightarrow \chi^0 q \bar{q}', \chi^0 \ell_i^\pm \nu_i ; \quad (25)$$

where we again assumed that the sfermions are heavy. In the framework of the MSSM only the last decay channel is present, however, with the  $\chi^0$  being stable. Therefore, the breaking of  $R$ -parity can modify substantially the signature of charginos.

---

<sup>3</sup>Notice that there is the possibility of a chargino decaying into the second lightest neutralino plus a  $W^+$ , which conserves  $R$ -parity. However, for the parameter range considered, the second lightest neutralino mass is around the chargino mass, so that this decay is forbidden or kinematically suppressed.

For the sake of illustration we exhibit in Fig. 3 typical values of the branching ratios of charginos and neutralinos, when we vary  $\epsilon$  for  $\mu = 150$  GeV,  $M_2 = 100$  GeV, and  $\tan\beta = 35$ . For neutralinos we exhibit its total visible and invisible branching ratios, where we included in the invisible width the contributions coming from the neutrino plus majoron channel ( $\chi^0 \rightarrow \nu J$ ), as well as from the neutral current channel when the  $Z$  decays into a pair of neutrinos ( $\chi^0 \rightarrow 3\nu$ ).

For small  $\epsilon$  values (up to  $10^{-4}$  GeV) the neutralino will decay outside the detector (since its lifetime is larger than  $10^{-6}$  s) so that it leaves no visible track. In this case it is effectively stable and the MSSM limit is restored. In this region the invisible component of the neutralino decay is associated only to the  $\chi^0 \rightarrow 3\nu$  channel. When the  $\epsilon$  parameter grows up to the order of 1 GeV the decay channels get mixed and both neutralinos as well as charginos have  $R$ -parity violating decays at the same level as the standard MSSM ones. As expected, above  $\epsilon \sim 1$  GeV or so  $\chi^\pm$  and  $\chi^0$  decay predominantly into majorons, that is, the invisible channel dominates the decay of the neutralino and the main chargino decay mode is  $\tau^+ J$ .

### C. Signatures for chargino pair production

At LEP II, there is a variety of topologies associated to the production of lightest chargino pairs. We classified its signals into four sets which contain almost all the possible final states in  $R$ -parity violating models.

- *MSSM topologies:* This class includes the following topologies

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^+ \chi^- &\rightarrow 4 \text{ jets} + \cancel{p}_T, \\ \chi^+ \chi^- &\rightarrow 2 \text{ jets} + \ell + \cancel{p}_T, \\ \chi^+ \chi^- &\rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- + \cancel{p}_T,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\ell^\pm$  stands for  $e^\pm$  or  $\mu^\pm$ . These are the channels used in the chargino searches within the framework of the MSSM. In majoron models, such topologies are obtained by charged-current decays into  $\nu, W^*$  or  $\chi^0 W^*$ , with the  $\chi^0$  decaying invisibly. As we can see from Fig. 3, these topologies are expected to be important for very small values of  $\epsilon$  since this is the region where  $\chi^\pm$  decays predominantly into  $W^* \chi^0$  and the neutralino has such a long life-time and it is not observed in the detector. These topologies also play an important rôle for moderate values of  $\epsilon$  (e.g.  $\simeq 0.1$ ) where the invisible decay of the neutralino is dominant and the chargino still decays into a  $\chi^0 W^*$ .

- *Multi-fermion (exotic) topologies:* When the neutralino decays visibly, almost all the three-body decays of the chargino lead to at least 3 charged leptons or jets. This occurs for small values of  $\epsilon$ , where the chargino decays predominantly into  $\chi^0 W^*$ . Therefore, the pair production of charginos can give rise to events with a large multiplicity of leptons and/or jets in this region of the parameter space. This is a striking signature of new physics. We focussed our attention on final states with 5 or more charged leptons and/or jets that also present missing energy.
- $\tau^\pm$  with 2 jets topology: For moderate values of  $\epsilon$  the neutralino decays invisibly and the chargino either into  $\tau^\pm J$  or into  $\chi^0 W^*$ . An important topology to analyze for this range of parameters is

$$\chi^+ \chi^- \rightarrow \tau^\pm + 2 \text{ jets} + \cancel{p}_T . \quad (26)$$

which arises when one of the charginos decays to  $\tau^\pm J$  while the other one decays to  $\chi^0 W^*$ .

- $\tau^+ \tau^- \cancel{p}_T$ : For large values of  $\epsilon$ , the chargino decay is dominated by  $\chi^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm J$ , therefore, the signal arising from its pair production is

$$\chi^+ \chi^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \cancel{p}_T . \quad (27)$$

In this case the signal topology for charginos in majoron models is the same of stau production in the MSSM framework [26].

#### D. Standard Model Backgrounds and Respective Cuts

Our goal is to evaluate the potential of LEP II to unravel the existence of supersymmetry with spontaneous  $R$ -parity violation. In order to do so, we studied the signals and backgrounds, choosing the cuts to enhance the former. The main backgrounds and cuts for the topologies above are:

- *MSSM topologies:* The background for these signals has been studied at length by several groups, including the experimental collaborations [27]. The main sources of background for these topologies are  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow f \bar{f} (n\gamma)$  ( $f = q$  or  $\ell^\pm$ ),  $W^+ W^-$ ,  $(Z/\gamma)^*(Z/\gamma)^*$ ,  $W e \nu_e$ , and  $Z e^+ e^-$ . The total cross sections of the backgrounds and respective cuts for the three MSSM topologies, after the cuts imposed by DELPHI in their analysis, are given in [27]. Moreover, we can easily obtain the signal cross sections in models

with  $R$ -parity violation by evaluating the cross section for chargino pair production and multiplying it by the appropriate branching ratios and experimental detection efficiencies – that is, we basically re-scale the DELPHI analysis to our scenario. These efficiencies are a function of the mass difference between the chargino and the lightest neutralino, with a small fluctuation due to the the statistical error in the simulation as well as an intrinsic dependence on the chargino mass. To be conservative, we have considered the lowest value of these efficiencies for each mass difference.

- *Multi-fermion (exotic) topologies*: At the parton level, these events exhibit 5 or more fermions. For instance, we can have final states  $\ell_i^+ \ell_i^- q \bar{q}' \ell^\pm + \cancel{p}_T$ , or six charged leptons and missing  $p_T$ , or 8 jets and missing  $p_T$ . The Standard Model (SM) contribution to these events is obtained only in higher order in perturbation theory, and consequently it has a negligible cross section. In our analysis, we assumed that there is no SM background and a conservative detection efficiency of 30%.
- $\tau^\pm$  plus 2 jets topology: The SM processes that can give rise to this topology are  $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-$ ,  $(Z/\gamma)^*(Z/\gamma)^*$ , which also contribute to the  $jj\ell$  MSSM topology background. At the parton level the cross sections of these process are the same for  $\ell^\pm = e^\pm, \mu^\pm$ , or  $\tau^\pm$ . Therefore, we evaluated the size of this background by multiplying the DELPHI's result for  $\sigma_{SM}(jj\mu^\pm + \cancel{p}_T)$  by a  $\tau$  identification efficiency, which we have taken as 80%.
- $\tau^+\tau^- + \cancel{p}_T$ : This is the main signal of  $R$ -parity violation models over a large  $\epsilon$  range, being the kinematics of these events completely different from the ones studied thus far for the MSSM. Therefore, we performed the analysis needed to obtain the cuts and efficiencies, which required the development of a Monte Carlo event generator that simulates chargino pair production as well as its decays for an  $e^+e^-$  collider within the framework of  $R$ -parity violating models. The SM backgrounds were studied using the event generator PYTHIA [28]. We considered the following SM processes, taking into account the QED (QCD) initial and final state radiation, as well as fragmentation.

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \cancel{p}_T, \quad (28)$$

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z/\gamma)^*(Z/\gamma)^* \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \cancel{p}_T, \quad (29)$$

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow (Z/\gamma)^* \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \cancel{p}_T, \quad (30)$$

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow [e^+e^-]\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \cancel{p}_T. \quad (31)$$

In order to reduce these backgrounds, we required  $15 < \cancel{p}_T < 40$  GeV, the invisible energy to be less than 45 GeV, the scaled acoplanarity to be greater than  $25^\circ$ , and the absolute value of the cosine of the polar angle of the missing momentum to

be less than 0.982. This last cut rejects events whose missing momentum is due to undetected particles going down the beam pipe. The  $(Z/\gamma)^*(Z/\gamma)^*$  background was further reduced imposing that the invariant mass of the  $\tau^+\tau^-$  pair ( $M_{\tau\tau}$ ) and the invisible invariant mass ( $M_{inv}$ ) satisfy  $|M_{\tau\tau(inv)} - M_Z| > 10$  GeV. Finally, the  $(Z/\gamma)^*$  background is greatly reduced demanding that the sum of the energy of the  $\tau^\pm$  is larger than 90 GeV. After applying all these cuts the background is completely eliminated for  $\sqrt{s} = 161$  and 172 GeV, while the signal is detected with an efficiency of  $\simeq 5\%$ .

#### IV. RESULTS

For the sake of definiteness we considered a center-of-mass energy of 172 GeV and a total integrated luminosity of  $300 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ , according to LEP II design expectations [29]. In analogy to the usual analyses performed for the MSSM, we present the 95% CL excluded regions of the  $(\mu, M_2)$  SUSY parameter space for different values of  $\tan\beta$  and  $\epsilon$ . First of all, we obtained bounds for  $\epsilon = v_R = v_L = 0$ , which should reproduce the MSSM results. We show in Figs. 4 and 5 that we obtain exactly the same limits found in the MSSM analyses [27], for both  $\tan\beta = 2$  and 35. This shows that our results are consistent.

For relatively small values of the  $R$ -parity violation parameter  $\epsilon$ , say  $\epsilon = 0.1$  GeV, the most important topologies are the MSSM and the exotic multi-fermion ( $\tau^\pm$  plus 2 jets) one for small (large) values of  $\tan\beta$ . We can see from Fig. 6, for  $\epsilon = 0.1$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 2$ , that the main constraints still come from the MSSM rescaling while the exotic multi-fermion channels are irrelevant to the final limits. This result can be understood by looking at Fig. 3, since for this choice of parameters the neutralino decays mostly to  $\nu J$ , remaining undetected and thus giving the conventional MSSM missing momentum signal. As  $\tan\beta$  increases the importance of the multi-fermion channel diminishes while the channel  $\tau^\pm$  plus 2 jets starts to become important. We present in Fig. 7 the 95% CL excluded regions in the plane  $(\mu, M_2)$  for  $\epsilon = 0.1$  GeV and  $\tan\beta = 35$ , which clearly shows that the MSSM and  $\tau^\pm$  plus 2 jets topologies lead to similar bounds.

For larger values of  $\epsilon$ , the neutralino decays mostly invisibly while the chargino presents a sizeable  $\tau J$  branching ratio; see Fig. 3. Therefore, we expect that the 2 jets +  $\tau$  and  $\tau\tau JJ$  signatures contribute significantly to the chargino mass bound, while the importance of the MSSM rescaling becomes smaller. In fact, Fig. 8 shows for  $\tan\beta = 2$  and  $\epsilon = 1$  GeV that the most important channel for these parameters is 2 jets +  $\tau$  in a large fraction of the parameter space. Moreover, for larger values of  $\tan\beta$  the 2 jets +  $\tau$  mode dominates in all points in SUSY parameter space; see Fig. 9.

Finally, for  $\tan\beta = 35$  and  $\epsilon = 10$ , only the channels involving chargino to tau-majoron play a significant rôle, and consequently the MSSM topologies cannot give any information. In other words, in this case the main contributions to the chargino mass constraints, as seen from Fig. 10, come from  $\tau\tau\cancel{p}_T$  and 2 jets +  $\tau$  topologies. In this case, the constraints are weaker than the kinematic limit due to the high irreducible SM background (see section III D). Furthermore, for such a large value of  $\epsilon$  and smaller values of  $\tan\beta$ , the chargino masses compatible with the limits on the  $\nu_\tau$  mass are not accessible at LEP II energies.

We summarize our results in Table I where we show the 95% CL chargino mass limits, that can be obtained in the absence of any signal at LEP II, for different values of the effective  $R$ -parity violation strength parameter  $\epsilon$  and two representative values of  $\tan\beta$ . These bounds are the weakest constraints that can be obtained when we vary the parameters in the ranges given by Eqs. (17) and (18), and they resulted from the analyses of each topology separately, as well as from the combined results. In the case where no limit was quoted in Table I, the bound obtained was lower than 45 GeV, the kinematical limit for LEP I, although the corresponding result was used in the combined bound. As we can see, the constraints are almost independent of  $\tan\beta$ , however, there is some dependence on the  $R$ -parity breaking parameter  $\epsilon$ . For small values of  $\epsilon$ , as expected, the chargino mass bounds correspond to the kinematical limit, recovering exactly the MSSM results for vanishing  $\epsilon$  and  $v_L$ .

Assuming unification of the gaugino mass parameters, we can derive bounds on the neutralino mass from the limits on the chargino mass. We obtained a neutralino mass limit of 38 GeV for  $\tan\beta = 2$  and 48 GeV for  $\tan\beta = 35$ , when  $\epsilon$  has the values given in Table I.

## V. COMMENTS AND CONCLUSIONS

We studied chargino pair production and decay at LEP II ( $\sqrt{s} = 172$  GeV) in SUSY models with spontaneously broken  $R$ -parity, characterized by the existence of the Majoron. We performed detailed signal and background analyses in order to determine the LEP II potential in probing physical parameters such as chargino or neutralino masses,  $m_{\chi^\pm}$  or  $m_{\chi^0}$ . We found that for most of the  $R$ -parity violating SUSY parameter space the chargino signal can be seen up to chargino masses close to the kinematical limit. However, for sufficiently large values of the effective  $R$ -parity violation strength parameter  $\epsilon$  the limit on the chargino mass weakens with respect to those of the MSSM due to the dominance of the two-body chargino decay mode  $\chi^\pm \rightarrow \tau^\pm J$  and to the existence of a large irreducible background arising from  $W$  pair-production, with each  $W$  decaying to  $\tau\nu$ . We explicitly verified that, as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  one recovers the MSSM chargino mass limit. Moreover, in analogy with standard

practice, we assumed unification of the gaugino mass parameters in order to determine the corresponding neutralino mass limit. To improve this limit it is important to realize that a dedicated neutralino analysis is really needed, more so than in the corresponding MSSM case.

Our analyses show that LEP II is able to discriminate between the MSSM and a model presenting spontaneous  $R$ -parity breaking in a large region of the SUSY parameter space, if charginos are indeed observed! For small values of  $\epsilon$  ( $\simeq 0.1$  GeV) and  $\tan\beta$  ( $\simeq 2$ ), the exotic multi-fermion channel can be seen and therefore used to look for  $R$ -parity violation when the MSSM topology is the dominant one; see Fig. 6. For larger of  $\epsilon$  and  $\tan\beta$ , the chargino decay into  $\tau J$  becomes important, and consequently, the 2 jets +  $\tau$  and  $\tau\tau\cancel{e}_T$  topologies should provide an undeniable signal for spontaneous breaking of  $R$ -parity; see Figs. 7 to 10.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank J. J. Hernandez and S. Navas for useful discussions. This work was supported by DGICYT under grant PB95-1077, by the TMR network grant ERBFMRXCT960090 of the European Union, by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP). M. A. G-J was supported by Spanish MEC FPI fellowships.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. P. Nilles, *Phys. Rep.* **110** (1984) 1; H. Haber and G. Kane, *Phys. Rep.* **117** (1985) 75.
- [2] S. Dimopoulos and L.J. Hall, *Phys. Lett.* **207B** (1988) 210; E. Ma and D. Ng, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1005; V. Barger, G. F. Giudice, and T. Han, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 2987; T. Banks, Y. Grossman, E. Nardi, and Y. Nir, *Phys. Rev.* **D52** (1995) 5319; M. Nowakowski and A. Pilaftsis, *Nucl. Phys.* **B461** (1996) 19; B. de Carlos and P. L. White, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 4222; G. Bhattacharyya, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **52A** (1997) 83.
- [3] C. S. Aulakh and R.N. Mohapatra, *Phys. Lett.* **B119** (1982) 136; G. G. Ross and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **151B** (1985) 375; J. Ellis, G. Gelmini, C. Jarlskog, G.G. Ross, and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **150B** (1985) 142; A. Santamaria and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **195B** (1987) 423; *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (1989) 1780; *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60** (1988) 397.
- [4] L. Hall and M. Suzuki, *Nucl. Phys.* **B231** (1984) 419.
- [5] For a recent review see J. W. F. Valle, in *Physics Beyond the Standard Model*, lectures given at the *VIII Jorge Andre Swieca Summer School* (Rio de Janeiro, February 1995) and at *V Taller Latinoamericano de Fenomenologia de las Interacciones Fundamentales* (Puebla, Mexico, October 1995) [hep-ph/9603307].
- [6] J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B196** (1987) 157.
- [7] M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and J W F Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B355** (1991) 330.
- [8] A Masiero and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B251** (1990) 273; J. C. Romão, C. A. Santos, and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B288** (1992) 311.
- [9] G. Giudice, A. Masiero, M. Pietroni, and A. Riotto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B396** (1993) 243; M. Shiraishi, I. Umemura, and K. Yamamoto, *Phys. Lett.* **B313** (1993) 89; see also I. Umemura and K. Yamamoto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B423** (1994) 405.
- [10] P. Nogueira, J. C. Romão, and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B251** (1990) 142; R. Barbieri and L. Hall, *Phys. Lett.* **B238** (1990) 86.
- [11] J. Romão, J. Rosiek, and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B351** (1995) 497.
- [12] J. C. Romão, N. Rius, and J. W. F. Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B363** (1991) 369.
- [13] J. C. Romão and J. W. F. Valle. *Phys. Lett.* **B272** (1991) 436; *Nucl. Phys.* **B381** (1992) 87.



- [14] For recent reviews see J. W. F. Valle, *Gauge Theories and the Physics of Neutrino Mass*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **26** (1991) 91 (ed. A. Faessler), and G. Gelmini and S. Roulet, UCLA/94/TEP/36 and references therein.
- [15] V. Berezinsky, Anjan S. Joshipura, and J. W. F. Valle, [hep-ph/9608307], to appear in *Phys. Rev. D*.
- [16] V. Berezinskii and J.W.F. Valle, *Phys. Lett.* **B318** (1993) 360.
- [17] A. Bartl, W. Porod, F. de Campos, M.A. García-Jareño, M. B. Magro, J.W.F. Valle, and W. Majerotto, *Nucl. Phys.* **B502** (1997) 19 [hep-ph/9612436].
- [18] J. C. Romao, A. Ioannissyan, J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Rev.* **D55** (1997) 427; see also, Marco A. Diaz, Jorge C. Romao, Jose W. F. Valle, hep-ph/9706315.
- [19] R. Mohapatra and J. W. F. Valle, *Phys. Rev.* **D34** (1986) 1642; J. W. F. Valle, *Theory and Implications of Neutrino Mass*, *Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.)* **11** (1989) 118 and references therein.
- [20] R. Barbieri, S. Ferrara, and C. Savoy, *Phys. Lett.* **B119** (1982) 343.
- [21] J. E. Kim, *Phys. Rep.* **150** (1987) 1; D. Dearborn, *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 26; M. Fukugita *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **48** (1982) 1522; *Phys. Rev.* **D26** (1982) 1841; J. Ellis and K. Olive, *Nucl. Phys.* **B223** (1983) 252.
- [22] D. Buskulic *et al.*, *Phys. Lett.* **B349** (1995) 585.
- [23] F. de Campos, M. A. García-Jareño, A. S. Joshipura, J. Rosiek, J. W. F. Valle *Nucl. Phys.* **B451** (1995) 3.
- [24] F. de Campos, M. A. García-Jareño, M. B. Magro, J. Romão and J. W. F. Valle, *Nucl. Phys.* **B482** (1996) 3.
- [25] A. Bartl *et al.*, *Z. Physik* **C30** (1986) 441.
- [26] We would like to thank F. Richard for calling our attention to this point.
- [27] F. Richard, talk at CERN, Feb. 25, 1997. For details see S. Navas PhD thesis, DELPHI, Univ. of Valencia, 1997.
- [28] T. Sjöstrand, *Comp. Phys. Commun.* **82** (1994) 74.
- [29] G. Altarelli *et al.* [eds], Physics at LEP II, CERN 96-01.