

1740665

IFUSP/P-77

FLUCTUATION CROSS-SECTION IN THE CASE OF
AN ISOLATED DOORWAY RESONANCE

by

R. I. F. - USP

Mahir S. Hussein

Fluctuation Cross-Section in the Case of An Isolated Doorway

Resonance[†]

Mahir S. Hussein

Pelletron Laboratory - Instituto de Física - Universidade de
São Paulo - Caixa Postal nº 20.516 - São Paulo - Brasil

[†] Work supported in part by FINEP.

A B S T R A C T

In this note we discuss some aspects of the fluctuation part of the cross section at a doorway resonance. We show that in the strong absorption (SA) limit an inequality is derived for Γ_{\downarrow} namely $\Gamma_{\downarrow} \geq \left(\frac{2}{N^{1/2}} - 1\right) \Gamma_{\uparrow}$ where N is the number of open channels. In the SA limit no doorway resonance appears in the average cross section.

In discussing the fluctuation part of the cross section at a doorway resonance the custom has been to assume that the absorption present in different channels is weak and thus is completely neglected in all channels. This then results in a simple expression for $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ namely

$$\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle_{\Delta E} = \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \frac{\Gamma \downarrow}{\Gamma \uparrow} \quad (1)$$

Where $\Gamma_c < \Delta E < \Gamma$, Γ_c is a typical compound nucleus width (e.g. the T_K states in the case of isobaric analog resonance), $\Gamma \uparrow = \sum_a \Gamma_a$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma \uparrow + \Gamma \downarrow$. One knows however that in many situations, particularly in isobaric analog resonance phenomena, the absorption could be strong. The indiscriminate use of equation (1) to estimate $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ for all cases certainly warrants a critical examination of the problem. In this work we shall look at the case when there is strong absorption in all channels. We shall also derive an expression for the fluctuation cross section in the case of intermediate absorption. And lastly we obtain an inequality for the spreading width, $\Gamma \downarrow$, of the doorway resonance. This inequality should be useful in cases where the number of channels is small ($N < 4$).

In what follows we shall omit all geometrical factors associated with the cross-section.

The S-matrix and its average

One can write the following expression for the S-matrix describing the transition from state a to state b via the doorway state. ¹⁾

$$S_{ab} = S_{ab}^{(0)} - i e^{i(\delta_a + \delta_b)} \Gamma_a^{1/2} \Gamma_b^{1/2} F(E) \quad (2)$$

$$S_{ab}^{(0)} = \tau_a e^{2i\delta_a} \delta_{ab}$$

$$F(E) = \sum_{\mu} \frac{a_{\mu}^2}{(E - E_{\mu}) + i \frac{\Gamma_{\mu}}{2}}$$

Γ_a is the partial width of the doorway resonance.

The sum \sum_{μ} is over all the complicated compound nucleus states that constitute the fine structure of the doorway resonance.

The doorway resonance becomes apparent when one considers the average S-matrix averaged over an energy interval ΔE that satisfies $\Gamma_{\mu} < \Delta E < \Gamma$ where Γ is the total width of the doorway resonance.

Then

$$\langle S_{ab} \rangle_{\Delta E} = S_{ab}^{(0)} - i e^{i(\delta_a + \delta_b)} \frac{\Gamma_a^{1/2} \Gamma_b^{1/2}}{(E - E_R) + i \frac{\Gamma}{2}} \quad (3)$$

where $\langle S_{ab}^{(0)} \rangle_{\Delta E} = S_{ab}^{(0)}$ is used.

A very important fact appears conspicuously in (1) and (2) namely that even in the absence of direct reactions there is correlation among different channels due to the doorway state. This correlation manifests itself in the nondiagonal nature of S_{ab} and $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$. It is then

interesting to investigate the effect of the correlation on the fluctuation cross section which in principle is determined from $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$ by diagonalization ²⁾. In the limiting cases of weak ($\gamma_a \sim 1$) and strong ($\gamma_a \sim 0$) absorption in all channels, however, a simple form for $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ is obtained.

Transmission Matrix

The transmission matrix is defined as usual by:

$$P_{ab} = \delta_{ab} - \sum_c \langle S_{ac} \rangle \langle S_{bc}^* \rangle \quad (4)$$

Using equation (2) one can find an expression for P_{ab} for any absorption γ_a

$$P_{ab} = (1 - \gamma_a^2) \delta_{ab} + e^{i(\delta_a - \delta_b)} \Gamma_a^{1/2} \Gamma_b^{1/2} \left\{ i \left[\frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_b}{E - E_R + i\frac{\Gamma}{2}} - \frac{\tilde{\Gamma}_a}{E - E_R - i\frac{\Gamma}{2}} \right] - \frac{\Gamma^\uparrow}{(E - E_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \right\} \quad (5)$$

where $\Gamma^\uparrow = \sum_a \Gamma_a$

P_{ab} is nondiagonal and it contains a non-resonant term and a resonant term (doorway resonance). The diagonalization of $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$ results in a diagonalization of P_{ab} itself by

proper choice of the unitary operator that diagonalizes $\langle S_{ab} \rangle$.

The physical interpretation of P_{ab} or rather its diagonal part is that of a probability of passage from one channel to the other. Then the sum over all final states must be a positive quantity for all energies.

Utilizing this fact we shall in the sequel derive an inequality.

Thus one demands:

$$\text{Tr } P \geq 0 \quad \text{for all energies,}$$

therefore from (5) one obtains

$$N - \sum_a \tau_a^2 + \frac{\sum_a \tau_a \Gamma_a \Gamma - (\Gamma \uparrow)^2}{(E - E_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \geq 0$$

Evaluating the above at $E = E_R$ we get:

$$N - \sum_a \tau_a^2 + \frac{4}{\Gamma^2} \left(\sum_a \tau_a \Gamma_a \Gamma - (\Gamma \uparrow)^2 \right) \geq 0$$

or:

$$N \geq \sum_a \tau_a^2 + \frac{4(\Gamma \uparrow)^2}{\Gamma^2} - \frac{4 \sum_a \Gamma_a \tau_a}{\Gamma} \quad (6a)$$

Which is the desired inequality.

In the limit of strong absorption in all channels one obtains

$$\Gamma \downarrow \geq \left(\frac{2}{N^{1/2}} - 1 \right) \Gamma \uparrow \quad (6b)$$

For large N the above inequality is a trivial one **but if** $N < 4$ one gets an interesting lower bound

on $\Gamma \downarrow$ which can be checked through a measurement of $\Gamma \uparrow$.

In general, however, one cannot realize the strong-absorption-limit-in-all-channel condition and thus equation (6a) is to be used instead. To be consistent with the condition of unitarity one would in principle vary τ_a in accordance with varying the resonance parameters. This is achieved through the evaluation of the following:

$$\left\langle \sum_c S_{ac} S_{bc}^* \right\rangle_{\Delta E} = \delta_{ab} \quad (7a)$$

which gives

$$\Gamma_a \Gamma \uparrow \langle |f(\epsilon)|^2 \rangle - \tau_a \Gamma_a \Gamma \langle |f(\epsilon)| \rangle^2 = 1 - \tau_a^2 \quad (7b)$$

Equation 7b is basically a relation between τ_a and the doorway resonance parameters Γ_a , Γ , $\Gamma \uparrow$, E_R as well as the energy E,

Weak absorption in all channels implies an equation for $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ namely

$$\langle |f|^2 \rangle = |\langle f \rangle|^2 \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma \uparrow} \quad (8)$$

Strong absorption in all channels implies the following:

$$\Gamma_a \Gamma \uparrow \langle |f|^2 \rangle = 1 \quad (9)$$

which is meaningful only if there is only one channel or if Γ_a is the same in all channels which is approximately true when the number of open channels is large.

The best one can do in order to utilize

equation (7b) is to evaluate $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ by utilizing the statistics of the a_{μ} 's or through a dynamical model of the compound nucleus. But in the strong absorption case one can still make use of eq. (9) since by summing over μ one easily obtains $\langle |f|^2 \rangle = N / (\Gamma \uparrow)^2$ i.e. no resonance appears in $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$. As we show in the next section this implies that the average cross-section will show no doorway resonance in this limit.

The Fluctuation Cross-Section:

In terms of $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ and $|\langle f \rangle|^2$ the fluctuation cross section assumes the following form:

$$\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle = \Gamma_a \Gamma_b (\langle |f|^2 \rangle - |\langle f \rangle|^2) \quad (10)$$

Since $\sigma_{ab}(\langle S \rangle) = \Gamma_a \Gamma_b |\langle f \rangle|^2$ one immediately finds for the energy-averaged cross-section

$$\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle = \Gamma_a \Gamma_b \langle |f|^2 \rangle \quad (11)$$

the average $\langle f \rangle$ is known namely:

$$\langle f \rangle = \frac{1}{E - E_R + i \frac{\Gamma}{2}} \quad (12)$$

The determination of $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ thus completely determines both $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ as well as $\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle$.

One way of determining $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ is to use equation (8) in the limit of weak absorption, $\Gamma_a \sim 1$, this gives equation (1). In the strong absorption limit we have:

$$\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle \longrightarrow N \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{(\Gamma \uparrow)^2} \quad (13)$$

Thus one sees that the strong absorption in all channels washes-out the doorway resonance completely in the

energy-averaged cross-section.

The presence of N in equation (13) should not be a cause of alarm in case the number of open channels N is large since the quantity $\lim_{N \rightarrow \text{large}} \left(\frac{\Gamma \uparrow}{N} \right)$ can be considered as an average partial width that renormalizes Γ_a and Γ_b .

To obtain an expression for $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ valid in intermediate absorption cases where neither equations (8) nor (9) are to be trusted requires, as was mentioned already, an explicit dynamical treatment of the resonances. However one still hopes that if the number of open channels is large unitarity alone is sufficient to suggest a practical form for the fluctuation cross section. Utilizing equation (7b) again by summing over all channel indices one obtains

$$\langle |f|^2 \rangle (\Gamma \uparrow)^2 - \sum_a \tau_a \Gamma_a \Gamma | \langle f \rangle |^2 = N - \sum_a \tau_a^2 \quad (14)$$

In principle the above equation should be considered as a unitarity constraint on the absorption coefficients τ_a relating them to all possible variation in energy as well as the resonance parameters.

Denoting the average partial width by

$$\bar{\Gamma} \equiv \lim_{N \rightarrow \text{large}} \left(\frac{\Gamma \uparrow}{N} \right); \text{ the average absorption in all channels by}$$

$$\bar{\tau} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \text{large}} \left(\frac{\sum_a \tau_a}{N} \right); \text{ approximating } \lim_{N \rightarrow \text{large}} \left(\frac{\sum_a \tau_a \Gamma_a}{N} \right) \approx \bar{\tau} \bar{\Gamma}$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{N \rightarrow \text{large}} \frac{\sum_a \tau_a^2}{N} \approx \bar{\tau}^2 \quad \text{one thus}$$

obtains an approximate solution for $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ namely:

$$\langle |f|^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\Gamma \uparrow \bar{\Gamma}} \left[(1 - \bar{\tau}^2) + \frac{\Gamma \bar{\tau} \bar{\Gamma}}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \right] \quad (15)$$

It should be realized that the above form for $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ is valid in the case N, the number of open channels, is large as then one may speak of average partial width since the variation in Γ_a from one channel to the other would not be so significant. With the above form for $\langle |f|^2 \rangle$ the energy-averaged fluctuation cross-section becomes:

$$\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle = \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{\Gamma \uparrow \bar{\Gamma}} (1 - \bar{\tau}^2) + \frac{\Gamma_a (\Gamma \bar{\tau} - \Gamma \uparrow) \Gamma_b}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \frac{1}{\Gamma \uparrow} \quad (16a)$$

and the energy-averaged cross-section:

$$\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle = \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{\Gamma \uparrow \bar{\Gamma}} (1 - \bar{\tau}^2) + \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \frac{\Gamma \bar{\tau}}{\Gamma \uparrow} \quad (16b)$$

The above expression should be useful whenever one tries to approximate the absorption present in the reaction to be roughly the same in all channels as then $\bar{\tau}$ would be the natural quantity to describe such an absorption rather than say individual τ_a, \dots .
The larger the number of channels is the better the above type

of approximation is. Of course in the limit when this average absorption is weak ($\bar{\tau} \sim 1$) or strong ($\bar{\tau} \sim 0$) one recovers equations (1) and (13) respectively.

Since a double check on equations (16) is possible via $P_{aa} = \sum_b \langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ we get accordingly:

$$P_{aa} = \frac{\Gamma_a}{\bar{\Gamma}} (1 - \bar{\tau}^2) + \frac{\Gamma_a (\Gamma \bar{\tau} - \Gamma \uparrow)}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \quad (17)$$

Naturally this is identified with Eq. (4) which indicates that, for equation (16) to be consistent with unitarity one must demand that $\Gamma_a = \bar{\Gamma}$ and $\tau_a = \bar{\tau}$. Since this connection between Γ_a, τ_a on the one hand and $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\bar{\tau}$ on the other is only approximately true one should thus keep this in mind when using equations (16) for $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$.

From equation (16b) one sees that off resonance the average cross-section is basically given by

$$\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle_{\text{off}} \approx \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{\Gamma \uparrow \bar{\Gamma}} (1 - \bar{\tau}^2)$$

At resonance one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle_R &\approx \frac{\Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{(\epsilon - \epsilon_R)^2 + \frac{\Gamma^2}{4}} \frac{\Gamma \bar{\tau}}{\Gamma \uparrow} \\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon = \epsilon_R} \frac{4 \Gamma_a \Gamma_b}{\Gamma} \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\Gamma \uparrow} \end{aligned}$$

the ratio between these two numbers is then independent of the channels involved i.e.

$$\frac{\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle_R}{\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle_{off}} \approx \frac{4 \bar{\tau}}{(1 - \bar{\tau}^2)} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \quad (18)$$

Knowing Γ from other measurements one can in principle use the above equation to obtain the average absorption $\bar{\tau}$ which one needs to describe $\langle \sigma_{ab} \rangle$ for any other reaction $a \rightarrow b$.

Discussions and conclusions:

Several points are worth commenting upon in the light of the results we have obtained in this work.

a) Hauser-Feshbach theory.

It is customary to express $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fp} \rangle$ in terms of the diagonalized form of the transmission coefficient²⁾. However it seems to us that if the interest is just in the fluctuation cross-section then the form (16) should be just as convenient to work with. As a matter of fact the transmission matrix itself seems to be a more complicated object and one has to perform the Engelbrecht-Weidenmüller transformation in order to relate to the fluctuation cross-section. Thus the need for a Hauser-Feshbach is certainly not so great in the cases we have discussed. It is the doorway nature of the intermediate structure that renders the cross-section to have the simple form in (16). Of course the above mentioned Engelbrecht-Weidenmüller transformation becomes indispensable in the presence of

direct (non-resonant) reactions. For this one needs the transmission matrix and thus a Hauser-Feshbach type of cross-section.

b) Strong absorption

As we have seen in section 3 the presence of strong absorption in all channels results in smoothing-out the intermediate structure in the energy-averaged cross-section. This behavior can be traced to the condition that unitarity imposes on the absorption in its connection to the doorway resonance parameters and the energy. The averaged cross section one obtains in this limit i.e. equation (13) should be considered to be mostly valid when the number of open channels N is large.

c) Intermediate absorption

In order to generalize the result obtained above to cases where the absorption is intermediate and equal in all channels we suggest a simple form, equation (16), valid only when the number of open channels is large. Unitarity imposes the further condition that the partial widths should be equal in all channels. Thus one would guess that our formula for $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ in equation (16) is useful in elastic scattering. To discuss inelastic reactions via the doorway one has to consider equation (16) as an approximate one. Correction to $\langle \sigma_{ab}^{fl} \rangle$ as given in eq. (16) can only be made if a detailed dynamical description of the resonances is made.

Lastly we have exploited the positivity of the total reaction cross-section to obtain an inequality which may be used in cases where N , the number of open channels, is small ($N < 4$) that gives a lower limit to Γ^{\downarrow} given an experimentally determined Γ^{\uparrow} . For large N the inequality becomes a trivial one $\Gamma^{\downarrow} \geq 0$.

It would be interesting to analyze the problem of the fluctuation cross-section in the general case of many-doorway resonances and in the presence of direct, non-resonant, reactions, using e.g. the Englebrecht-Weidenmüller transformation or the Kawai-Kerman-McVoy approach³⁾. We are presently exploring these extensions.

Acknowledgement

I thank W. Mittig for useful comments and criticism that clarified many points in this work.

Footnotes and References

- 1) G.Graw, H.Clement, J.H.Feist, W. Ketschmer and P. Pröschel
Phys.Rev. C 10, 2340 (1974)
- 2) H.M.Hofmann, J.Richert, J.W.Tepel and H.A.Weidenmüller
Ann.Phys.(N.Y.), 90,403(1975)
403(1975); C.A.Engelbrecht and H.A.Weindenmüller, Phys.Rev.
C 8,859(1973).
- 3) M.Kawai, A.K.Kerman and K.W.McVoy - Ann Phys.(N.Y.) 75,156
(1973).