

IFUSP/P 692
SELF-USF

UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA
CAIXA POSTAL 20516
01498 - SÃO PAULO - SP
BRASIL

PUBLICAÇÕES

IFUSP/P-692

SELF DUAL FIELDS AND THE THIRRING MODEL

M. Gomes, V. Kurak, V.O. Rivelles and
A.J. da Silva

Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo

26 MAI 1988



Fevereiro/1987

SELF DUAL FIELDS AND THE THIRRING MODEL

M. Gomes, V. Kurak, V. O. Rivelles
and
A. J. da Silva

Instituto de Física da USP - Departamento de Física Matemática

C. P. 20516 - São Paulo, S.P., Brasil.

Abstract

A recently proposed Lagrangean for self dual fields is used to analyse the Thirring model as a superposition of right and left moving fields. We show that the systematic construction of the Thirring field, based on the current algebra approach, follows from this Lagrangean and Dirac's theory for constrained systems.

This work was partially supported by CNPq.

The quantization of a self-dual field ($\dot{\chi} = \chi'$) was recently examined by Floreanini and Jackiw¹, who interpreted it as a charge density soliton. They proposed a singular (nonlocal) Lagrangean from which all the properties of χ field can be derived. The whole approach can be thought as a Lagrangean counterpart of a previous program of formulating fermion fields in terms of currents, initially proposed by Sugawara². In this note we will make this point clearer by applying the aforementioned construction to the Thirring model. We will also clarify other points as the relation between the 'bosonization rules' and the 'Mandelstam representation' of the fermion fields.

Consider two boson fields j_+ and j_- whose dynamics is defined by the Lagrangean (the common time argument of all fields have been suppressed)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{4} \int dx dy \{ j_+(x) \epsilon(x-y) \dot{j}_+(y) - j_-(x) \epsilon(x-y) \dot{j}_-(y) \} - \frac{1}{2} \int dx \{ j_+^2(x) + j_-^2(x) \} \quad (1)$$

The singular nature of this Lagrangean follows from the expressions for the canonical conjugate momenta:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_+(x) &= \frac{1}{4} \int dy j_+(y) \epsilon(y-x) \\ \pi_-(x) &= -\frac{1}{4} \int dy j_-(y) \epsilon(y-x) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which are constraints, since they do not contain the velocities. They are second class constraints, so that the dynamics in the Hamiltonian formulation is best described by means of Dirac's procedure³ (for details see the works of references [4] and [5]).

The canonical Hamiltonian and momentum corresponding to the Lagrangean (1) are

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx (j_+^2 + j_-^2) \\ P &= \frac{1}{2} \int dx (j_-^2 - j_+^2) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and together with the Lorentz generator, $\int dx [x\mathcal{H}(x) - t\mathcal{P}(x)]$ form the Poincaré algebra.

Using (2), Dirac's brackets can be computed in a straightforward way. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_{\pm}(x), j_{\pm}(0)\}_D &= \pm \delta'(x) & \{\pi_{\pm}(x), \pi_{\pm}(0)\}_D &= \mp \frac{1}{8} \epsilon(x) \\ \{\pi_+(x), \pi_-(0)\}_D &= 0 & \{j_+(x), j_-(0)\}_D &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations for j_{\pm} are

$$2 \dot{\pi}_{\pm}(x) = -j_{\pm}(x) \quad (5)$$

Thus, using the identity

$$2 \pi'_{\pm}(x) = \mp j_{\pm}(x) \quad (6)$$

which follows from (2), we can rewrite (5) as ($u = x + t, v = t - x$)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u j_- &= 0 & \partial_u \pi_- &= 0 \\ \partial_v j_+ &= 0 & \partial_v \pi_+ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The equations (3), (4) and (6) are the classical form of the assumptions contained in the current algebra approach of ref. (6). Indeed, canonical quantization is consistently done by replacing Dirac's brackets by commutators and, taking into account (7), introducing a Wick ordering in the expressions (3) for H and P. It is remarkable that all this structure, from which the Thirring field can be systematically constructed, is already embodied in the Lagrangean (1) and Dirac's recipe to quantize constrained systems. The Thirring field is a charge creating field, ψ , satisfying the Dirac's brackets⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \{j_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(x), \psi(x')\}_D &= i(a + \bar{a}\gamma_5)\psi(x')\delta^{(\pm)}(x - x') \\ \{j_{\pm}^{(\pm)}(x), \psi(x')\}_D &= i(a - \bar{a}\gamma_5)\psi(x')\delta^{(\pm)}(x - x') \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and having its time evolution governed by (3). Looking at the equations of motion which follow from (3) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u \psi &= -i(a + \bar{a}\gamma_5)j_+(u)\psi(u, v) \\ \partial_v \psi &= -i(a - \bar{a}\gamma_5)j_-(v)\psi(u, v) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

we see that two of them coincide with the ones usually written for the Thirring model. The other two correspond, in the quantized theory, to additional information which must be given to construct the composite field $j \approx \psi^\dagger \psi$ by the point splitting method.⁶ In fact, as we have shown in [7], these two extra equations are, at both classical and quantum level, just the integration conditions of the problem.

By using (6), a solution to (9) can be written as

$$\psi = \exp[2i(a + \bar{a}\gamma_5)\pi_+(u) + 2i(a - \bar{a}\gamma_5)\pi_-(v)] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

After quantization, the renormalized (i.e., Wick ordered) form of this relation will have both a dimension and a Lorentz spin. It is the well known bosonization rule⁸.

Another useful representation for ψ is obtained by noting that, due to (7), it is possible to write $j_{\mu} = \frac{\partial_{\mu} \varphi}{\sqrt{2}}$ with φ satisfying $\partial^2 \varphi = 0$. Therefore, replacing this on (2) and (9), we get

$$\psi = \exp\{-i a \varphi(x, t) + i \bar{a} \gamma_5 \int dy \frac{\epsilon(x-y)}{2} \dot{\varphi}(y)\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

The quantum version of this equation, which differs from (11) by just a Wick ordering prescription to treat the exponentiated field, is the well known Mandelstam bosonization form of the Thirring model.

The equivalence of the Thirring model to a free field theory can be made still more transparent if we make a change of variables

$$j_{\pm} = \frac{p \pm \varphi'}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (12)$$

In terms of these new variables the Hamiltonian and Dirac's brackets become

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int dx (p^2 + \varphi'^2) \quad (13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\varphi(x), \varphi(0)\}_D &= 0 \\ \{\varphi(x), p(0)\}_D &= i\delta(x) \\ \{p(x), p(0)\}_D &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

which is precisely the Hamiltonian description of an unconstrained free field. At this point the Dirac brackets can, of course, be replaced by ordinary Poisson brackets. However, we must stress that the fermionic character (the Lorentz spin) and a non zero scaling dimension of the Thirring field are purely quantum effects. They come as a consequence of the multiplicative renormalization corresponding to the Wick ordering prescription, needed to well define the composite field ψ .

References

1. R. Floreanini and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 1873 (1987).
2. H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. 170, 1659 (1968);
C. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. 176, 2019 (1968).
3. P. A. M. Dirac, "Lectures on Quantum Mechanics", Belfer School of Science, Yeshiva University, NY (1964).
4. M. E. V. Costa and H.O. Girotti, "Comments on Self-Dual Fields as Charge Density Solitons", preprint UFRS (1987).
5. H. O. Girotti, M. Gomes, V. Kurak, V. O. Rivelles and A. J. da Silva, "On Chiral Bosonization", preprint IFUSP (1988).
6. G. Dell'Antonio, Y. Frishman and D. Zwanziger, Phys Rev. D6, 988 (1972).
7. M. Gomes, V. Kurak and A. J. da Silva, Nucl. Phys. B295 [FS21], 139 (1988);
"Quantum Integrability and Kac-Moody Algebras", preprint IFUSP (1987).
8. S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D11, 2088 (1975);
S. Mandelstam Phys. Rev. D11, 3026 (1975);
J. A. Swieca, Fortschr. der Phys. 25, 303 (1977).